	Case 1:20-cv-00386-NONE-HBK Docume	ent 28 Filed 10/20/21 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSHUA JESSE CANTU,	Case No. 1:20-cv-386-NONE-HBK
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REFERRING CASE TO ADR AND STAY OF CASE FOR 90 DAYS
13	v.	(Doc. No. 26)
14	P. WARD, ET. AL.,	(2001)01 20)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Joshua Jesse Cantu initiated this action proceeding <i>pro se</i> by filing a civil rights	
18	action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. No. 1). Plaintiff proceeds on his First Amended Complaint	
19	alleging an Eighth Amendment claim arising from excessive use of force when correctional	
20	officials allegedly beat Plaintiff and others failed to intervene or manage their subordinates.	
21	(Doc. No. 7).	
22	By way of background, on September 9, 2020 the former-assigned magistrate judge issued	
23	Findings and Recommendations that Plaintiff be permitted to proceed on his Eight Amendment	
24	excessive force claims against John Doe 1, P. Ward, B. Xiong, P. Dunn, and John Doe 2 but no	
25	other claims set forth in his First Amended Complaint. (Doc. No. 9 at 6). The district court	
26	adopted the Findings and Recommendations. (Doc. No. 12). Service was directed on the named	
27	defendants and Plaintiff was afforded an opportunity to identify the John Doe defendants. (Doc.	
28	Nos. 13, 14). D. Cortez and J. Soto were identified and substituted as the John Doe Defendants	

10 11

12

13

14

16 17

15

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

and served. (Doc. Nos. 17, 18). Defendants filed an Answer to Plaintiff First Amended Complaint on October 13, 2021. (Doc. No. 26).

The court refers all civil rights cases filed by prisoners to Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) to attempt to resolve such cases more expeditiously and less expensively. In appropriate cases, defense counsel from the California Attorney General's Office have agreed to participate in ADR. No claims, defenses, or objections are waived by the parties' participation.

The court, therefore, STAYS this action for 90 days to allow the parties to investigate Plaintiff's claims, meet and confer, and participate in an early settlement conference. The court presumes that all such cases assigned to the undersigned will proceed to a settlement conference. However, if after investigating Plaintiff's claims and meeting and conferring either party finds that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources, that party may opt out of the early settlement conference and the Court will issue a Case Management and Scheduling Order.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

- 1. This action is **STAYED for 90** days to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before the discovery process begins. No pleadings or motions may be filed in this case during the stay. The parties shall not engage in formal discovery, but they may engage in informal discovery to prepare for the settlement conference.
- 2. Within 30 days from the date of this order, either party may file notice indicating their belief that settlement is not achievable at this time. If either party opts out of the settlement conference the court will issue an amended discovery and scheduling order.
- 3. If neither party opts out, the assigned Deputy Attorney General SHALL within 45 days of this Order contact the undersigned's Courtroom Deputy Clerk at kdunbarkari@caed.uscourts.gov to schedule the settlement conference. Before doing so, defense counsel should confirm with Plaintiff's counsel that Plaintiff will have access to Zoom on their proposed date for the settlement conference.
- 4. If the parties reach a settlement during the stay of this action, they SHALL file a Notice of Settlement as required by Local Rule 160.
 - 5. The Clerk of Court shall serve Deputy Attorney General Amanda Waters and

Case 1:20-cv-00386-NONE-HBK Document 28 Filed 10/20/21 Page 3 of 3 Supervising Deputy Attorney General Lawrence Bragg with a copy of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint (Doc No. 7), the Findings and Recommendation (Doc. No. 9) and this Order. 6. The parties are obligated to keep the court informed of their current addresses during the stay and the pendency of this action. Changes of address must be reported promptly in a Notice of Change of Address. See Local Rule 182(f). Dated: October 19, 2021 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE